

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

In re application of:
Clay Davidson, et al.

Art Unit: 2621
Conf. No.: 1646

APR 04 2005

Application No.: 09/746,671

Filed: December 22, 2000

For: Compensating for Color Response and
Transfer Function of Scanner and/or
Printer When Reading a Digital Watermark

Examiner: S. Nakhjavan

Date: April 4, 2005

CERTIFICATE OF TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this paper and the documents
referred to as being attached or enclosed herewith are
being facsimile transmitted to the United States Patent
and Trademark Office on April 4, 2005 at 703-872-
9306.


Steven W. Stewart

Attorney for Applicant

Supplemental Statement of Unintentional Delay

Mail Stop Petition
COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

Sir:

This statement is being provided on behalf of the undersigned attorney, Steve Stewart, in-house counsel for Digimarc Corporation, the assignee of the subject patent application. I have taken over prosecution of this application from Elmer Galbi of the law firm Marger Johnson & McCollum, PC. A previous attempt to change the attorney of record from Mr. Galbi to me and my in-house colleagues at Digimarc failed due to an error in the serial number. A new change in power of attorney is filed herewith.

This statement supplements the statement of unintentional delay by Mr. Galbi that was provided in the March 30, 2005, Petition for Revival of Application Abandoned Unintentionally.

Statements accompanying the February 2, 2005, Petition for Revival of Application Abandoned Unavoidably (petition dismissed) indicated that we could not find any evidence that the July 15, 2004, Office Action had been received.

Mr. Galbi and his firm have subsequently informed Digimarc that they did receive it and sent it to Digimarc. Mr. Galbi and his firm have also informed Digimarc that copies of correspondence forwarding the Office Action to Digimarc were not located until after the February 2, 2005, Petition was filed. (Prior to the date of the Office Action, Digimarc had instructed Mr. Galbi to forward all correspondence to Digimarc, which in turn, would handle prosecution of this application.)

Digimarc, however, did not receive the Office Action as noted in our earlier February 2, 2005, statement and supporting docket copies and the entire delay in filing the March 30, 2005, Petition was unintentional.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 4, 2005

DIGIMARC CORPORATION

Customer No. 23735

Phone: 503-469-4685

FAX: 503-469-4777

By ST SL

Steven W. Stewart
Registration No. 45,133